

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/462,955	05/16/2000	WOLFGANG ROHDE	23232.0002	5703
23859	7590 11/13/2003		EXAMINER	
NEEDLE & ROSENBERG, P.C.			COLLINS, CYNTHIA E	
SUITE 1000 999 PEACH	TREE STREET		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ATLANTA,	GA 30309-3915		1638	
			DATE MAILED: 11/13/2003	3

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/462,955	ROHDE ET AL.			
ravicery reach	Examin r	Art Unit			
	Cynthia Collins	1638			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with th	correspondence address			
THE REPLY FILED 29 September 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appear Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper reply to a n places the application in			
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]				
 a)	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	g date of the final rejection. IE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	If extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>29 September 2003</u> . Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:				
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);					
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application ir issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the			
(d) they present additional claims without canceling NOTE:	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.			
3.⊠ Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s): See Continuation Sheet.				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed amendment			
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		dered but does NOT place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were newly			
7.⊠ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>12-23,25 and 26</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a)☐ approved or b)☐ disappr	roved by the Examiner.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	t(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	·			
10. Other:					

Application/Control Number: 09/462,955 Page 2

Art Unit: 1638

Continuation of 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): the objection to claim 18; the rejection of claims 17-20 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being incomplete for omitting essential elements; the rejection of claims 17 and 19 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite in the recitation of the "DNA fragments according to Claim 26"; the rejection of claim 26 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite in the recitation of "The composition of claim 12"; the rejection of claim 16 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Rohde.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: (a) the request does not overcome the objection under 35 U.S.C. 132 to the amendment filed September 26, 200, for introducing new matter into the disclosure, as the specification does not indicate that the Rohde publication is to be incorporated by reference; (b) the request does not overcome the rejection of claim 16 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite in the recitation of "variant" and "a modified promoter which does not have an activity 20% more than or 20% less than the promoter activity of nucleotides 211-911 of SEQ ID NO:1", as is still unclear how different from SEQ ID NO:1 the DNA fragment can be to be a "variant" which does not have an activity 20% more than or 20% less than the promoter activity of nucleotides 211-911 of SEQ ID NO:1"; (c) the request does not overcome the rejection of claims 12, 13, 17-21, 23 and 25-26 under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, for scope of enablement, because the specification does not provide sufficient guidance for one skilled in the art to make and use without undue experimentation a CFDV virus fragment that has promoter activity that only has the stem-loop structure set forth in nucleotides 962-991 of SEQ ID NO:1; (d) the request does not overcome the rejection of claims 16 and 22 under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, for enablement, as the specification does not provide guidance for making and using promoter sequences that encompass variants of SEQ ID NO:1 and every fragment thereof that is a modified promoter which does not have 20% more than or 20% less than the promoter activity of nucleotides 211-911 of SEQ ID NO:1; (e) the request does not overcome the rejection of claims 16 and 22 under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, for written description, as promoter sequences that encompass variants of SEQ ID NO:1 and every fragment thereof that is a modified promoter which does not have 20% more than or 20% less than the promoter activity of nucleotides 211-911 of SEQ ID NO:1 are not described; (f) the request does not overcome the rejection of claims 12-23 and 25-26 under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as a Terminal Disclaimer has not been submitted.

DAVID T. FOX
PRIMARY EXAMINER
GROUP 189 /63 8

(Operso)